Summerbank Primary Academy Pupil Premium Strategy (& Review) 2017 – 2018

| School | Summerbank Primary A | Academy | | | |
|------------------------|----------------------|------------------------|----------|-------------------------|-----------|
| Academic Year | 2017-2018 | PP Budget | £232,320 | Most recent update to | Jan 2018 |
| | | Early Years PP | £5664 | this document | |
| | | Total PP Budget | £237,984 | | |
| Total Number of Pupils | 460 | Total Number of Pupils | 176 | Date of next internal | June 2018 |
| | | eligible for PP | | review of this strategy | |

| 2. Attainment 2017 | | | | | | | | |
|---|-------|-----------------------------------|-------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Summerbank figures for PP children National figures for non-PP children | | | | | | | | |
| Foundation Stage | | | | | | | | |
| ELG+ Reading | 33% | ELG+ Reading | 63% | | | | | |
| ELG+ Writing | 33% | ELG+ Writing | 59% | | | | | |
| ELG+ Maths (Number) | 62% | ELG+ Maths (Number) | 67% | | | | | |
| Phonics | | | | | | | | |
| % Y1 pupils passing phonics check | 61% | % Y1 pupils passing phonics check | 84% | | | | | |
| Key Stage One | | | | | | | | |
| % expected standard Reading | 54% | % expected standard Reading | 79% | | | | | |
| % expected standard Writing | 46% | % expected standard Writing | 72% | | | | | |
| % expected standard Maths | 67% | % expected standard Maths | 79% | | | | | |
| Key Stage Two | | | | | | | | |
| % expected standard Reading | 76% | % expected standard Reading | 77% | | | | | |
| % expected standard Writing | 82% | % expected standard Writing | 81% | | | | | |
| % expected standard Maths | 71% | % expected standard Maths | 80% | | | | | |
| Progress measure Reading | +5.08 | Progress measure Reading | +0.33 | | | | | |
| Progress measure Writing | +4.90 | Progress measure Writing | +0.18 | | | | | |
| Progress measure Maths | +1.29 | Progress measure Maths | +0.28 | | | | | |

| 3. Disadvantaged pupil context | | | | |
|--------------------------------|-----|--|--|--|
| Total number of pupils | 176 | | | |
| eligible for PP | | | | |
| PP pupils with EAL | 65 | | | |
| PP pupils with SEN | 34 | | | |

| 4. Barri | ers to future attainment (for pupils eligible for PP) |
|----------|--|
| i | Vast majority of children below age related expectation on entry to nursery / Reception |
| ii | A growing percentage of children new to English or with EAL. throughout all year groups |
| iii | Limited language / restricted vocabulary which impacts on learning |
| iv | Pupils being 'ready to learn' (being in a secure place mentally and emotionally) |
| v | A lack of regular routines including home reading, homework, spelling and having the correct equipment in school (e.g. PE kit) |
| vi | Consistent attendance and punctuality |
| vii | Mobility – many moves between schools |
| viii | High deprivation factor / poverty |
| ix | Low aspirations about what can be achieved and how to be successful and limited access to positive role models |
| х | Cultural differences and commitments |
| xi | Narrow range of opportunities outside school (life experiences, libraries, books) |
| xii | Parental engagement with school and perceptions of education |

| Desired outcome: At key National milestones the gap in achievement | | Success Criteria: Pupils achieve (or exceed) expected levels in Gl | | |
|---|---|---|---|--|
| between disadvantaged pupils and all pupils nationally is diminishing. | | Reading, Writing, Mathematics, SPaG related to their individual levels. | | |
| Evaluation of Impact Outcom | e A: | | | |
| | School Disadvantaged Pupils 2018 Progress Score | National non-disadvantaged Pupils 2018 | Difference to National | |
| KS2 Reading | +1.96 | +0.29 | +1.67 | |
| KS2 Writing | +0.8 | +0.22 | +0.58 | |
| KS2 Mathematics | +3.51 | +0.28 | +3.23 | |
| Desired outcome: Ongoing mo | ng' and targeted teaching. | Success Criteria: There is evid | ence of the gap between PP atta | |
| Desired outcome: Ongoing mo disadvantaged pupils is leadin | ng' and targeted teaching. | Success Criteria: There is evid | ence of the gap between PP atta | |
| Desired outcome: Ongoing mo disadvantaged pupils is leadin all year groups. | ng' and targeted teaching. onitoring demonstrates that provision for g to at least expected progress for pupils | Success Criteria: There is evid and National All Pupil attainm | ence of the gap between PP atta | |
| Desired outcome: Ongoing mo disadvantaged pupils is leadin all year groups. | ng' and targeted teaching. onitoring demonstrates that provision for g to at least expected progress for pupils e B: School Disadvantaged Pupils 2018 | in Success Criteria: There is evide and National All Pupil attainm points. National non-disadvantaged Pupils 2018 | ence of the gap between PP atta | |
| Desired outcome: Ongoing mo disadvantaged pupils is leadin all year groups. Evaluation of Impact Outcom National Assessment | ng' and targeted teaching. onitoring demonstrates that provision for g to at least expected progress for pupils e B: School Disadvantaged Pupils | Success Criteria: There is evide and National All Pupil attainm points. National non-disadvantaged | ence of the gap between PP atta ent diminishing at key national of Difference to National | |
| Desired outcome: Ongoing mo disadvantaged pupils is leadin all year groups. Evaluation of Impact Outcom | ng' and targeted teaching. ponitoring demonstrates that provision for g to at least expected progress for pupils e B: School Disadvantaged Pupils 2018 EXP+ | Success Criteria: There is evide and National All Pupil attainm points. National non-disadvantaged Pupils 2018 EXP+ | ence of the gap between PP atta ent diminishing at key national of Difference to National +4ppts | |
| Desired outcome: Ongoing mo disadvantaged pupils is leadin all year groups. Evaluation of Impact Outcom National Assessment GLD (EYFS) Phonics at Y1 | ng' and targeted teaching. ponitoring demonstrates that provision for g to at least expected progress for pupils e B: School Disadvantaged Pupils 2018 EXP+ 78% | in Success Criteria: There is evide and National All Pupil attainm points. National non-disadvantaged Pupils 2018 EXP+ 74% | ence of the gap between PP atta ent diminishing at key national of Difference to National | |
| Desired outcome: Ongoing mo disadvantaged pupils is leadin all year groups. Evaluation of Impact Outcom National Assessment GLD (EYFS) | ng' and targeted teaching. onitoring demonstrates that provision for g to at least expected progress for pupils e B: School Disadvantaged Pupils 2018 EXP+ 78% 75% | in Success Criteria: There is evide and National All Pupil attainm points. National non-disadvantaged Pupils 2018 EXP+ 74% 85% | ence of the gap between PP attaent diminishing at key national Difference to National +4ppts -10ppts -21ppts | |
| Desired outcome: Ongoing mo disadvantaged pupils is leadin all year groups. Evaluation of Impact Outcom National Assessment GLD (EYFS) Phonics at Y1 KS1 Reading | ng' and targeted teaching. Distoring demonstrates that provision for g to at least expected progress for pupils e B: School Disadvantaged Pupils 2018 EXP+ 78% 75% 58% | Success Criteria: There is evid and National All Pupil attainm points. National non-disadvantaged Pupils 2018 EXP+ 74% 85% 79% | +4ppts -10ppts -21ppts -22ppts | |
| disadvantaged pupils is leadin all year groups. Evaluation of Impact Outcom National Assessment GLD (EYFS) Phonics at Y1 KS1 Reading KS1 Writing | ng' and targeted teaching. onitoring demonstrates that provision for g to at least expected progress for pupils re B: School Disadvantaged Pupils 2018 EXP+ 78% 75% 58% 42% | in Success Criteria: There is evide and National All Pupil attainm points. National non-disadvantaged Pupils 2018 EXP+ 74% 85% 79% 74% | ence of the gap between PP attaent diminishing at key national of Difference to National +4ppts -10ppts -21ppts | |

| KS2 Mathematics | 84% | 80% | +4ppts |
|---|---|---|---|
| KS2 SPAG | 74% | 82% | -4ppts |
| used to support small group with national remains in Phonics and Although Story time phonics was scheme and continued to use thi | drawal as this year group had very hi KS1, it has diminished from the previo introduced during this year, children s, the expected impact of Storytime p | gh levels of differentiat ous year (see 2017 data taking the Y1 phonics s honics will be in future | ng year groups, particularly in Y6 where this was tion (linked to SEN needs). Although the gap to a in section 2). screen had already been exposed to the previous e years when they will have followed the consister of increased staffing in this Phase which supporte |
| Desired outcome: Attendance and improving towards national bencl | d punctuality of disadvantaged pupils i hmark | | Disadvantaged pupils' attendance (currently 93.8% 2016/2017) to diminish the gap to national non- currently 96.6%) |
| Evaluation of Impact Outcome C | | | |
| 2017 - 2018 Disadvantaged Pupil gap. | s attendance was 94.49% (the Nation | al Benchmark for 2017 | -2018 was 94.3%), therefore there is nolonger a |
| a subsidised 'paid' Breakfast Club | | uild on this. This club a | upport group has continued to impact. As a resul allows parents to opt in/out on a daily basis and chool on these days. |
| EWO support continues to be es | sential to track and target pupil atten | dance and provide time | ely interventions. |
| 0 | pupils can access learning effectively ional, social and safety needs are bein | | Pupils are ready to learn in class. The number of the point of learning are reduced |
| | • | | |

| | During the academic year 2017-2018 the Academy supported 13 children provision and 8 Safeguarding referrals were made. Where support was public barriers to learning. 96 children are identified as vulnerable and are monit | t in place, the vast majority of children were able to overcome their |
|---|--|---|
| | The Learning Behaviour Mentor supported a small number of individuals v allowing these children to better access their learning. | vho demonstrated high risk behaviour and over time this was reduced |
| | Lessons Learned | |
| | Year on year the number of pupils experiencing physiological, emotional, s accessing these types of support in 2017-2018 being higher than in previou form part of next year's plan. Nurture has largely taken place through with morning nurture as a small number of children are struggling to settle on a | is years). This is an essential part of school provision and will need to hdrawal during afternoon sessions, there is a growing need for |
| E | Desired outcome: Disadvantaged pupils experience a full, appropriate and enriched curriculum. | Success Criteria: The curriculum provides opportunities for pupils to broaden their horizons and knowledge and understanding of the world. Pupils attend events/visit places they would not usually be exposed to. |
| | Evaluation of Impact Outcome E: | · · |
| | Pupils participated in a subsidised visit/in-school learning opportunity. All supported communication and social skills alongside learning within the su | |
| | Lessons Learned Pupil voice confirms that these experiences are highly valued by pupils cor This use of funding impacts strongly on social inequalities experienced by I | |
| F | Desired outcome: Disadvantaged pupils demonstrate increased confidence, communication and language skills. | Success Criteria: Vocabulary scores in KS1 and KS2 assessments demonstrate improvement toward the national. Pupils are given the tools and opportunities to express themselves and perform. |
| | Evaluation of Impact Outcome F: | |

| | Foundation Stage – The Echars evalution tool was used to audit provision improvements were made to impact on the learning environment, judge | |
|---|---|--|
| | EAL developments included an improved identification, tracking and plar | nning tool which clearly identifies a progression in vocabulary learning. |
| | 2018 Y6 SATs results for the 'Give and explain the meaning of words in co National (Summerbank 70% ;National 69%) | ontext' aspect show that children are achieving in line with/above |
| | <u>Lessons Learned</u> Limited vocabulary is a key barrier to social mobility. Work undertaken t aspects of the school curriculum, but this will need to remain a central fo | |
| G | Desired outcome: The engagement of parents/carers of disadvantaged pupils positively influences the outcomes for their children (social, emotional, academic) | Success Criteria: Parents opportunities in school to participate in: shared learning with their children; personal learning; engage with support agencies. |
| | Evaluation of Impact Outcome G: Feedback from Family Learning Sessions indicates that these are highly version experiences. A number of Early Help families have engaged with these set 13 Pupil Premium children have benefitted from their family being part of place it has prevented escalation of issues that would have led to more s | essions. If an Early Help plan, where this first wave of support has been put in |
| | | |
| | Lessons Learned | |

| 6. Planned Expenditure | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|-----------------|---|
| A - At key National mileston | es the gap in achiever | nent between disadvantaged | pupils and all pupils nationally | is diminishing. | |
| B - Ongoing monitoring dem | | | leading to at least expected pr | | |
| Focus | Chosen Action / Approach | What is the evidence and rationale for this choice? | How will you ensure it is implemented well? | Staff Lead | When will you review implementation? |
| Disadvantaged pupils in FS, Y1 and Y2 have improved phonic outcomes | Storytime Phonics as prime approach throughout FS and KS1 | Current provision is inconsistent between Key Stages – putting in place common approach will support better progress through transition. | Phonics Lead appointed to support implementation and monitoring. | R Thompson | July 2018 |
| Improve outcomes for disadvantaged children with special educational needs | Inclusion Manager to manage our programme of interventions (proportion of salary linked to proportion of SEN PP children). Interventions team supporting in class in the mornings then withdrawing pupils for specific 1:1 or small group interventions in the afternoons. | 29 disadvantaged pupils identified as also having SEN Additional classroom support in the mornings is essential to support literacy and mathematics, ensuring that children requiring intervention do not miss out on whole class learning. | Inclusion manager to track pupil progress of intervention groups. | K Shortt | Half-termly progress review of Intervention groups. |
| For pupils in FS1, FS2, Y6 make or exceed expected progress | Staff to pupil ratio increased to allow for additional | Smaller group sizes mean disadvantaged pupils can access more adult time | Phase Leaders to monitor impact of additional staffing. | Phase Leaders | Termly review of provision |

| | teaching groups and interventions. | with tightly focused direct teaching. Additional adults mean pre-planned intervention groups can take place and also ad-hoc according to need. | | | |
|--|---|--|---|----------------------------------|---|
| C Attendance and nunctual | lity of disadvantaged | pupils is improving towards na | ational honohmark | Teaching Assis Increased staf | onics: £2470 hager (0.25): £11,719.75 stant Support: £36,177 if ratio support: £32,888 Total Costing: £83,254.75 |
| C - Attendance and punctual Focus | Chosen Action / Approach | What is the evidence and rationale for this choice? | How will you ensure it is implemented well? | Staff Lead | When will you review implementation? |
| Persistent Absence and those pupils at risk of PA show improved attendance | Provision of a free breakfast club for targeted pupils | Breakfast clubs improve attendance/punctuality. | Half-termly review of attendance/targeted pupils. | K Smith | Half-termly |
| Persistent Absence and those pupils at risk of PA show improved attendance | EWO Support purchased to improve attendance through tracking and targeting pupils as part of Early Intervention. | In previous years impact of EWO support has reduced PA. Attendance remains below National and is therefore an area for targeted improvement. | Working Group established to monitor impact of initiatives. | R Shenton | Termly |
| | <u> </u> | 1 | 1 | Breakfast Club EWO/Admin S | |

| Focus | Chosen Action / Approach | What is the evidence and rationale for this choice? | How will you ensure it is implemented well? | Staff Lead | When will you review implementation? |
|--|--|--|--|--|---|
| Vulnerable pupils are supported within classrooms to engage effectively with learning. | Early Help (Class based) support by specialist TA. | Social/Emotional needs act as a barrier to identified children and prevent them from engaging fully with learning and hindering progress. | Monitoring of lessons demonstrates active engagement of targeted pupils. Pupil discussions and feedback. | S Surtees | Monthly meeting with the Early Help Champion to ensure provision is in place and effectively targeted. |
| Nurture provision (outside of class) supports children in developing confidence, emotional resilience and social skills. | Access to small group based nurture provision for identified pupils. | | Inclusion team to monitor effectiveness and to ensure that provision is fully responsive to pupil needs. | S Surtees H Potts | Monthly meeting with the Inclusion Team to ensure provision is in place and effectively targeted. |
| Support in place for pupils who lack behaviour for learning. | Learning and Behaviour Mentor targets identified pupils. | | Monitor behaviour records. | H Potts | Monthly. |
| Safeguarding systems ensure physiological, emotional, social and safety needs are met and do not disadvantage learners. | Dedicated Safeguarding Officer in place. | | Monthly Safeguarding update. | R Shenton | Monthly |
| 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | Early Help in o Nurture provi Learning/Beh Safeguarding | sion: £5019 av Mentor: £ 4439 |

| Focus | Chosen Action / Approach | What is the evidence and rationale for this choice? | How will you ensure it is implemented well? | Staff Lead | When will you review implementation? |
|--|---|---|---|--|---|
| For pupils to receive specialist teaching in small groups in key curriculum areas. | Specialist D&T/Computing Teacher and base in school with all children receiving this learning within a small group setting. | PP pupils have limited access to technology and construction equipment and learning with the home. | Ongoing programme of in school monitoring. | R Shenton | Monitoring of progress of pupils in D&T and Computing. |
| For pupils to access a range of social/cultural/sporting experiences, visits and activities | Pupils will participate in subsidised cultural visits (e.g. New Vic Theatre, local museums, outdoor education centres) Participation in local cultural projects (Clay schools; New Vic Shakespeare project) | Pupils have limited life experience, horizons will be broadened and they will have a stronger cultural, historical and geographical understanding. Pupils benefit from experiences that they may not experience otherwise, broadening opportunities and increasing aspiration. | Educational/cultural visits and projects are agreed at SLT level to ensure balance and equity. | R Shenton C Pearson | Ongoing |
| Pupils continue to receive enriched learning opportunities through the 6 week holiday. | Offer a summer programme of activities for targeted pupils. | | Educational/cultural/sporting activities are agreed at SLT level to ensure balance and equity. | R Shenton C Pearson | Evaluate at end of the project and also impact on return to school in September. |
| | | | | Specialist Teach Subsidised visit Subsidised proj Summer progra | s: £9024 ects: £4000 |

| F - Disadvantaged pupils demonstrate increased confidence, communication and language skills. | | | | | | | |
|--|--|---|---|---|--|--|--|
| Focus | Chosen Action / Approach | What is the evidence and rationale for this choice? | How will you ensure it is implemented well? | Staff Lead | When will you review implementation? | | |
| Target the development of pupils' communication and language skills in the early years. | Ensure a language rich curriculum and learning environment throughout Foundation Stage. | Children enter school with very low language and communication skills. | Education Director of the MAT to support, monitor and evaluate. | J Johnson | Ongoing | | |
| Identified gaps in learning for EAL PP addressed | EAL Lead to manage our programme of interventions (proportion of role linked to proportion of EAL PP children). Bilingual team supporting in class/targeted group support (proportion of role linked to proportion of EAL PP children). | 29 disadvantaged pupils identified as also having SEN Additional classroom support in the mornings is essential to support literacy and mathematics, ensuring that children requiring intervention do not miss out on whole class learning. | EAL Lead to track pupil progress of EAL groups. | A Toft | Termly | | |
| | | 1 | 1 | EAL Lead: £4109.35 EAL Support: £23,029 Foundation Stage: £22,448 TOTAL COST: £49,586 | | | |

| Focus | Chosen Action / Approach | What is the evidence and rationale for this choice? | How will you ensure it is implemented well? | Staff Lead | When will you review implementation? |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| Support in place for parents of vulnerable pupils to enable them to offer a positive influence on pupil wellbeing and learning. | Early Help level of support in place for families via Early Help Champion and engagement with other agencies. Parent workshops support parental engagement, confidence, relationships and skills. | The school has a high proportion of families facing challenging circumstances and who have been shown to benefit from coordinated support. | Inclusion Team to closely monitor progress of children in receipt of early Help. Inclusion Champion to meet weekly with children from families engaged with early help and ensure that the support in place is feeding through to benefit the child. | S Surtees | Ongoing |
| | 1 | 1 | | Early Help Champion: £14,958 TOTAL COST: £14, | |